

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) FORM

Name of Policy:	Grants Review
Lead Officer (responsible for assessment):	Michelle Burrell-Barnett, Communities and Partnerships Support Officer
Department:	Communities and Partnerships
Others Involved in the Assessment (i.e. peer review, external challenge):	
Date EIA Completed:	10.12.2018

What is an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)?

As part of any effective policy development process, it is important to consider any potential risks to those who will be affected by the policy's aims or by its implementation. The Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) process helps us to assess the implications of our decisions on the whole community, to eliminate discrimination, tackle inequality, develop a better understanding of the community we serve, target resources efficiently, and adhere to the transparency and accountability element of the Public Sector Equality Duty.

The word 'policy', in this context, includes the different things that the Council does. It includes any policy, procedure or practice - both in employment and service delivery. It also includes proposals for restructuring, redundancies and changes to service provision.

(a) **What is the policy trying to achieve?** i.e. What is the aim/purpose of the policy? Is it affected by external drivers for change? What outcomes do we want to achieve from the policy? How will the policy be put into practice?

The Grants Review has been developed to ensure that grants are used in the most effective way with a criteria and system in place that enables communities most in need to benefit.

A Grants Review has been undertaken from the information collated from the applicants from S106, Community Fund and Facilities Improvement Grant.

(b) **Who are its main beneficiaries?** i.e. who will be affected by the policy?

This Strategy encompasses the broad spectrum of need of all vulnerable residents in East Cambridgeshire including (but not limited to):

- People not in education, or training
- Disadvantaged / low income
- People with disabilities
- Homelessness
- Lesbian, gay and bisexual
- Families
- People in rural areas
- Older people
- migrant workers, ethnic minority groups, refugees and asylum seekers
- Adults
- Village residents
- Health & wellbeing
- Carers
- Long term unemployed
- Lone parents
- Alcohol / drug addictions
- Ex offenders
- Local residents
- Children and young people
- Young adults

(c) Is the EIA informed by any information or background data (quantitative or qualitative)? i.e. consultations, complaints, applications received, allocations/take-up, satisfaction rates, performance indicators, access audits, census data, benchmarking, workforce profile etc.

Consultation with external organisations, service leads, councillors and research gathered from secondary sources (including other strategies and census information).

(d) Does this policy have the potential to cause a positive or negative impact on different groups in the community, on the grounds of any of the protected characteristics? (please tick all that apply)

Ethnicity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Age	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Gender	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Religion and Belief	<input type="checkbox"/>
Disability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sexual Orientation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Gender Reassignment	<input type="checkbox"/>	Marriage & Civil Partnership	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pregnancy & Maternity	<input type="checkbox"/>	Caring Responsibilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Please explain any impact identified: i.e. What do you already know about equality impact or need? Is there any evidence that there is a higher or lower take-up by particular groups? Have there been any demographic changes or trends locally? Are there any barriers to accessing the policy or service?

The Grants Review has identified a range of people that have benefitted from the community grants.

(e) Does the policy have a differential impact on different groups?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes
(f) Is the impact adverse (i.e. less favourable)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
(g) Does it have the potential to disadvantage or discriminate unfairly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	No

against any of the groups in a way that is unlawful?

(h) How have you engaged stakeholders in gathering evidence or testing the policy proposals? Who was involved, how and when where they engaged? Does the evidence show potential for differential impact? How will you mitigate any negative impacts? Where there is the potential for an adverse impact that cannot be addressed immediately, these should be highlighted in your recommendations and objectives at the end of the EIA.

The grants review has been written from information received from data received by the applicants between 2015 – 2018.

* The Consultation Register is available to assist staff in consulting with the Council’s stakeholders.

(i) Summarise the findings of your research and/or consultation (please use a separate sheet if necessary).

The findings of the Grants Review have brought to the forefront of how the three grant pots have been spent and geographically spread over the 3 years between 2015 – 2018. It has brought about the need for a review, to ensure that the grants are spread as evenly as possible in geographical terms and in terms of community need, to ensure they are spent in the best possible way.

(j) What are the risks associated with the policy in relation to differential impact and unmet needs/requirements? i.e. reputation, financial, breach of legislation, service exclusion, lack of resources, lack of cooperation, insufficient budget etc.

Without this review, there is potential for the grants may to not be distributed as evenly as possible throughout East Cambridgeshire.

(k) Use the information gathered in the earlier stages of your EIA to make a judgement on whether there is the potential for the policy to result in unlawful discrimination or a less favourable impact on any group in the community, and what changes (if any) need to be made to the policy.

Option 1:	No major change - the evidence shows that the policy is robust and no potential for discrimination.	x
Option 2:	Adjust the policy - to remove barriers or to better promote equality.	
Option 3:	Continue the policy - despite potential for adverse impact or missed opportunity to promote equality, provided you have satisfied yourself that it does not unlawfully discriminate.	
Option 4:	Stop and remove the policy – if the policy shows adverse effects that cannot be justified.	

(l) Where you have identified the potential for adverse impact, what action can be taken to remove or mitigate against the potential for the policy to unlawfully discriminate or impact less favourably on one or more communities in a way that cannot be justified? Include key activities that are likely to have the greatest impact (max. 6). Identified actions should be specified in detail for the first year but there may be further longer term actions which need to be considered. To ensure that your actions are more than just a list of good intentions, include for each: the person responsible for its completion, a timescale for completion, any cost implications and how these will be addressed. It is essential that you incorporate these actions into your service plans.

N/A

This completed EIA will need to be countersigned by your Head of Service. **Please forward completed and signed forms to the Principal HR Officer.**

All completed EIAs will need to be scrutinised and verified by the Council's Equal Opportunities Working Group (EOWG) and published on the Council's Intranet to demonstrate to local people that the Council is actively engaged in tackling potential discrimination and improving its practices in relation to equalities. Please be aware that you may be asked to attend a half-an-hour session to summarise the findings of the EIA to the Scrutiny and Verification panel.

Signatures:

Completing Officer: _____ **Date:** _____

Head of Service: _____ **Date:** _____