

# Mepal Neighbourhood Plan 2022-2031



## Settlement Boundary Methodology

September 2023



# Mepal Neighbourhood Plan 2022-2031

## Settlement Boundary Methodology September 2023

Submission of the Neighbourhood Plan in accordance with Regulation 15 of Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012



The Parish Council received professional planning support from NEIGHBOURHOOD-PLAN.CO.UK during the production of this Neighbourhood Plan.



NEIGHBOURHOOD-PLAN.CO.UK

For any general query relating to the Neighbourhood Plan contact:  
Parish Clerk: Karen Peck  
Telephone: 01353 741066  
Email: [clerk@mepalparish.org](mailto:clerk@mepalparish.org)  
Website Contact Form: <https://www.mepalparish.org/contact/#>

For consultation on the Neighbourhood Plan contact:  
Email: [mnhp@mepalparish.org](mailto:mnhp@mepalparish.org)

<https://www.mepalparish.org/mepal-neighbourhood-plan/>

# Mepal Neighbourhood Plan 2022-2031



# Copyright Acknowledgements

## Mapping

Contains OS data © Crown copyright 2023

© Crown copyright and database rights 2023, OS licence number AC0000829103

Mapping used in this document is either OS Open Data used under the Open Government Licence or is OS Licenced Data reproduced in accordance with the Parish Council's Public Sector Geospatial Agreement licence number AC0000829103 (formerly 100066033) issued by Ordnance Survey.

## Aerial Photos

Contains OS data © Crown copyright 2023

Aerial photos are from Ordnance Survey and are used under the Open Government Licence.




# Contents

Introduction	Page 6
The Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Boundary Review	Page 8
Settlement Boundary Purpose	Page 10
Settlement Boundary Criteria	Page 10
Consultation on the Proposed Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Boundary	Page 11
The Proposed Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Boundary	Page 12
<i>Map - Settlement Boundary</i>	<i>Page 13</i>
Appendix 1 - The Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Boundary (Built-up Area) Criteria	Page 15
Appendix 2 - Possible New Allotment Site	Page 19
<i>Map - Possible Allotment Sites Considered</i>	<i>Page 20</i>

# Introduction

1. The East Cambridgeshire Local Plan (adopted in 2015) identified a ‘Development Envelope’ for Mepal.
2. Paragraph 3.3.5 of the Local Plan states: *“The development envelopes are shown on the settlement Inset Maps of the Policies Map. They are similar to those in the Core Strategy (2009), but with two key changes. Firstly, the development envelopes have been re-drawn to include new allocations on the edge of towns and villages and also current employment areas on the edge of settlements - where these form part of the main built-up framework of a settlement. Secondly, a comprehensive review has taken place of detailed boundaries to remove anomalies and update boundaries in light of changes. It should be noted that the development envelopes do not necessarily include all areas of built development. For example, there are numerous single dwellings or small groups of houses in the countryside, plus a number of small hamlets which do not have a development envelope. These are considered to be part of the open countryside, due to their small size and open structure/lack of compact built-form. There are also a number of employment areas and allocations which have been excluded as they are in the open countryside or are divided from the main built-up framework of a settlement by fields, roads or other clear boundaries.”*
3. The emerging Local Plan Single Issue Review does not propose to update or amend any of the development envelopes for any of the settlements across the district. The Local Plan uses settlement boundaries (called development envelopes) drawn tightly around the existing built form of the villages and towns across the district. In this document the term settlement boundary is used throughout and reference to settlement boundary in the Local Plan means the development envelopes.
4. The Neighbourhood Plan proposes to update the settlement boundary to take account of the way in which the Parish has developed since the Local Plan was drawn up. In particular the need to clearly recognise the growth of Mepal and other planning decisions taken by the LPA which has taken place outside of the Local Plan development envelope.
5. Details of how the new settlement boundary has been drawn is out in the Settlement Boundary Methodology (this document). The methodology is drawn from the principles set out later.
6. Mepal is not proposed for growth on allocated sites in either the existing Local Plan or the Local Plan Single Issue Review. Growth in Mepal under Policy GROWTH 2: Locational strategy of the Local Plan looks for more limited development to take place in villages which have a defined development envelope, thereby helping to support local services, shops and community needs. Within the defined development envelopes housing, employment and other development to meet local needs will normally be permitted - provided there is no significant adverse effect on the character and appearance of the area and that all other material planning considerations are satisfied. Then under Policy GROWTH 2, outside defined development envelopes, development will be strictly controlled, having regard to the need to protect the countryside and the setting of towns and villages.
7. Having regard to the Policy GROWTH 2, continued speculative development outside of the settlement boundary has the potential to undermine the strategic policies of the East Cambridgeshire Local Plan. It also has the potential to affect the distinctive character of Mepal. The strategic policies of the Local Plan and the policies of the Neighbourhood Plan will be best served by Mepal having an up-to-date settlement boundary.

# Mepal Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Boundary Methodology



**Policies Map (April 2015) Mepal (Insert Map 8.28)**

**Key:**

Development Envelope	SSSI; Area of International Importance for Wildlife;
County Wildlife Site	Mineral Safeguarding Area
Special Area of Conservation	Waste Consultation Area



East Cambridgeshire  
District Council



Not to scale

Development Envelope in the East Cambridgeshire Local Plan (2015)

8. For Mepal, a settlement boundary is proposed to be identified to define the existing built-up area of the village having regard to the East Cambridgeshire Local Plan criteria in paragraph 3.3.5. The settlement boundary serves a specific purpose in that it is intended to direct the growth of the settlements and enable development to take place in a coherent manner, maintaining the structure and form of the existing settlement geography as the Local Plan envisages. In addition, the boundary will protect the character and landscape setting of the settlement.

## The Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Boundary Review

9. Since the development envelope was set out in the 2015 Local Plan, the LPA has granted planning permissions for an additional 16 dwellings<sup>1</sup> along Bridge Road, together with a further 2 dwellings<sup>2</sup> along Brangehill Lane and 1 dwelling<sup>3</sup> on New Road outside of the development envelope. Many of those approved dwellings have been constructed or are under construction. As such the Local Plan development envelope does not reflect the current position. These 19 approved dwellings are within what would be commonly thought of now as the village. All of these approvals were for standard open-market housing.
10. Mepal has also seen a further 11 dwellings being granted planning permission outside of the development envelope since the 2015 Local Plan. This makes a total of 30 dwellings being granted outside of the development envelope which seriously calls into question the validity of the current development envelope. Of these further 11 dwellings, 2 are at existing farms<sup>4</sup> north of the Ouse Washes. The remaining 9 dwellings have been permitted in the group of dwellings along Witcham Road focussed around Chestnut Farm and White Gate Farm<sup>5</sup>. Again these were for standard open-market housing, this has doubled the number of dwellings in this grouping, at the time of the 2015 Local Plan this grouping of dwellings was partly in Mepal (north of Witcham Road) and partly in Sutton Parish (south of Witcham Road) but it was not included in the development envelope for Sutton. Neither did the Sutton Neighbourhood Plan include these grouping of properties within any development envelope or similar.
11. Notwithstanding the number of dwellings permitted in the group of dwellings along Witcham Road focussed around Chestnut Farm and White Gate Farm; it remain a small outlying pocket of development or group of properties that are clearly detached from the settlement which would normally be considered to be part of the countryside.
12. The main areas where development outside the development envelope has already been permitted is shown overlaid on the extract from the 2015 Local Plan below:

---

<sup>1</sup> 18/00909/OUT for 4 dwellings with 2 of those now built under 19/00627/FUL & 19/01728/FUL; 18/01434/RMA for 3 dwellings; 19/00830/OUT for 2 dwellings; plus 20/00414/FUL, 15/00834/FUL, 22/00834/FUL, 22/00833/FUL, 22/00189/FUL, 19/00183/FUL & 21/00328 all respectively for 1 dwelling each

<sup>2</sup> 20/01466/VAR for 2 dwellings

<sup>3</sup> 19/01222/FUL for 1 dwelling

<sup>4</sup> 21/01536/FUL at Low Bank Farm for 1 dwelling and 18/00134/VAR at Hiams Farm for 1 dwelling.

<sup>5</sup> 22/00561/FUL for 1 dwelling; 22/00168/OUT for 1 dwelling; 19/00826/FUL for 2 dwellings; 19/00654/FUL for 1 dwelling; 19/00109/FUL for 2 dwellings; and 17/01490/FUL for 2 dwellings



Extract of 2015 Local Plan Development Envelope Showing Broad Locations of Planning Permissions Granted Since Highlighted in Red

13. To conform with the strategic policies of the Local Plan there is a need to steer future development towards the locations that the Local Plan identifies for growth. Policy GROWTH 2 of the Local Plan states: *“The majority of development will be focused on the market towns of Ely, Soham and Littleport. Ely is the most significant service and population centre in the district, and will be a key focus for housing, employment and retail growth.”* Given the proposed role for villages such as Mepal in the Local Plan it is considered reasonable as part of a balanced approach to devise a settlement boundary to define the physical extent of the existing community of Mepal and the countryside beyond.
14. The ‘development envelope’ for Mepal in the Local Plan is now dated and notably planning permissions for some 19 dwellings have been granted along Bridge Road, Brangehill Lane and New Road outside of the development envelope as detailed earlier. As such an updated development envelope would reflect the current position. The Single-Issue Review Local Plan does not propose to update the development envelope; therefore, it is appropriate for the Mepal Neighbourhood Plan to look to update the development envelope. For clarity the Neighbourhood Plan will call this the ‘Settlement Boundary’.
15. Mepal is a traditional village, the northern end focussed on the old river bridge crossing including the Three Pickerels public house is partly within the designated Special Protection Area (SPA) and Ramsar sites which are part of the ‘National Sites Network’. This is also designated as a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). The parts of the village within these designated sites are not included within the settlement boundary identified in the Neighbourhood Plan.

## Settlement Boundary Purpose

16. The Neighbourhood Plan uses settlement boundaries as a policy tool for ensuring the right type of development in the right place. National planning policy encourages sustainable patterns of development and resists inappropriate development in locations where it might cause harm to the local area. These are key underlying principles that will need to be borne in mind when reviewing the existing settlement boundary and developing a new settlement boundary.
17. The settlement boundary is defined in the Neighbourhood Plan; this settlement boundary is used to update the 'development envelope' for Mepal. It serves the purpose of directing the growth of the settlement and protecting the countryside from encroachment.

## Settlement Boundary Criteria

18. The East Cambridgeshire Local Plan does set out a comprehensive definition of how it defined the 'development envelope'; in paragraphs 3.3.4 and 3.3.5 the Local Plan gives a broad overview. Regard has been had to this broad overview as the criteria used in the Local Plan in drawing the settlement boundary around the existing built form of the village as it now exists.
19. The Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Boundary Review has taken into account the broad criteria in the Local Plan. The Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Boundary Review seeks to define the updated 'development envelope' for Mepal as such it is based on the following principles together with the following inclusion and exclusion criteria in the methodology as follows:

### **Principles**

- Boundary drawn tightly around built form, be easily identifiable and (normally) follow property boundaries and permanent features;
- Follow defined physical features; and
- Need not be continuous; potentially two or more separate elements but excludes small outlying pockets of development or groups of properties that are clearly detached from the main settlement.

### **Areas which have been included are:**

- Any allocations for residential, employment or mixed-use development in the Local Plan or Neighbourhood Plan;
- Built and commenced residential and community facilities development such as religious buildings schools and community halls, that are physically related to the settlement;
- Built and commenced employment development that is physically related to the settlement;
- Existing committed sites that benefit from planning permission;
- The curtilage of a property that relates more closely to the built environment (e.g. a garden) or has limited capacity to extend the built form of the settlement in terms of scale and location; and
- Recreational or amenity space at the edge of a settlement that relates more closely to the built environment and is an integral part of the settlement.

### Areas which have been excluded are:

- Employment development, farm buildings and farmyards, at the edge of the village that is not physically well related to the settlement;
- Isolated development that is physically detached from the settlement (including farm buildings or agricultural buildings and renewable energy installations);
- The extended curtilage of a property that relates more closely to the open countryside (e.g. a field or paddock) or has the capacity to substantially extend the built form of the settlement in terms of scale and location;
- Important gaps;
- Land within the designated 'National Sites Network' consisting of areas designated as Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) or Special Protection Areas (SPA's); together with areas within the internationally designated Ramsar sites or are designated as Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI);
- Recreational or amenity space at the edge of the settlement that relates more closely to the open countryside;
- Camping and caravanning sites unless they have permanent year-round residential occupancy; and
- Proposed or built rural exception sites for affordable housing.

20. The above methodology criteria were developed taking into account the principles set out in the East Cambridgeshire Local Plan. In addition, other made Neighbourhood Plans in East Cambridgeshire were considered, many of which have updated the development envelopes from the Local Plan.

21. The criteria have then been applied based on local knowledge. How the criteria have been applied is explained in more detail in Appendix 1. In broad terms the settlement boundary has amended the Local Plan development envelope to include permitted development along Bridge Road, Brangehill Lane and New Road. Amendments have also been made to include garden areas along New Road where the Local Plan development envelope cut through garden areas without any clear rationale. The other major change to the Local Plan development envelope has been to include the Recreation Ground which is considered to be an integral part of the settlement and is physically distinct from the countryside.

# Consultation on the Proposed Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Boundary

22. Alongside the draft Neighbourhood Plan the Settlement Boundary Methodology was published for consultation during the period Wednesday 1 March 2023 to Wednesday 12 April 2023 alongside Policy 1 which sets out the relevant policy framework. A query was raised regarding the proposed settlement boundary was why it did not include the properties alongside the New Bedford River.

23. As explained in the Methodology in Appendix 1 '*Land within the designated 'National Sites Network' consisting of areas designated as Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) or Special Protection Areas (SPA's); together with areas within the internationally designated Ramsar sites or are designated as Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)*' is excluded. Consequently, this is why land within the designated boundary of the Ouse Washes has been excluded as additional built development may result in harm to biodiversity. As such the grouping of properties around the Three Pickerels Pub and Mepal Bridge that lie within

### *Mepal Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Boundary Methodology*


the Ouse Washes SAC/SPA/Ramsar boundary have been excluded. It remains the view of the Parish Council that including land within the Ouse Washes notation within the settlement boundary would establish a principle in favour of development that would be incompatible with the statutory environmental designations. As such no changes have been made.

24. East Cambridgeshire District Council highlighted that the settlement boundary included quite a large amount of additional area within which ‘in principle’ support for development may arise. As such it may be appropriate to consider whether other policy tools relating for example to intensification along Bridge Road and Local Green Space for the Recreation Ground might be complementary actions for the Neighbourhood Plan. Discussions were held with the District Council and it was agreed that there was merit in incorporating such policy tools in the Neighbourhood Plan. The policy approach on intensification has also taken into account the recent history of the LPA permitting significant numbers of dwellings outside the development envelope, with the aim of resisting further such inappropriate development.

## The Proposed Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Boundary

25. The Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Boundary for Mepal is set out on the Map below.

## Mepal Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Boundary Methodology



Map - Settlement Boundary  
© Crown Copyright

 Settlement Boundary

 Plan Area Boundary

This page is intentionally blank

# Appendix 1 - The Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Boundary Criteria

26. The Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Boundary Criteria have been applied in the following manner:

Principles	Implementation
Boundary drawn tightly around built form, be easily identifiable and (normally) follow property boundaries and permanent features	Where practical the boundary will include the whole curtilage of a property in recognition of the combined status of properties and their curtilages as a single planning unit. Exceptions are set out below for determining the boundary around extensive properties on the edge of the built-up area to reflect the difference in character between parts of the grounds closely related to the property, for instance containing features that have a more natural countryside character.
Follow defined physical features	Follows field boundaries; garden boundaries; road boundaries; and hedgerows.
Need not be continuous; potentially two or more separate elements but excludes small outlying pockets of development that are clearly detached from the settlement	<p>Applying this methodology, there would be either one or two main built up areas that can be identified and mapped within the Parish. This depends upon whether or not the Recreation Ground is included or not; this means that Nos.1 to 22 Witcham Road are either a separate area or not. The group of properties along Mepal Road around White Gate Farm are excluded. Notwithstanding recent planning permissions being granted for 9 dwellings in that area, this is still considered to be a small group of houses in the countryside as the Local Plan envisages in paragraph 3.3.5. Therefore, this group of properties is not included within the settlement boundary.</p> <p>There are a few other properties in the Parish which are physically separated from the main part of the existing settlements so have been excluded.</p>
Areas Included	Implementation
Any allocations for residential, employment or mixed-use development in the Local Plan or Neighbourhood Plan	The East Cambridgeshire Local Plan includes no such allocations in Mepal. The Neighbourhood Plan also does not propose any such site allocations.
Built and commenced residential and community facilities development such as religious buildings schools and community	The majority of buildings within the settlement boundary fall within this category. It is included for the sake of completeness as most attention is paid to determining where

*Mepal Neighbourhood Plan - Settlement Boundary Methodology*

halls, that are physically related to the settlement	the edges of the built-up area are. Applying this methodology, the settlement boundary has been identified and mapped within the Parish.
Built and commenced employment development that is physically related to the settlement. Farm buildings and farmyards that are within the streetscene of the village and are that physically well related to the settlement	There are no such employment areas in Mepal. Laurel Farm on New Road forms part of the streetscene and the overall curtilage has a residential character and is physically well related to the settlement.
Existing committed sites that benefit from planning permission	There are a few such areas along Bridge Road which need to be included. It should be noted that 18/00909/OUT included four plots, two of these plots have been developed subsequently under separate full consents. As it is the area between these two plots that remains undeveloped, notwithstanding the fact that the outline consent has technically lapsed, it is considered illogical to omit the remaining two plots, so this has been included within the proposed settlement boundary.  The existing committed sites are based on those with planning permission as of December 2022. Although, it should be noted that no consents have been granted subsequently that would impact on the settlement boundary anyway.
The curtilage of a property that relates more closely to the built environment (e.g. a garden) or has limited capacity to extend the built form of the settlement in terms of scale and location	Some large gardens exist; however, these gardens are closely related to the property both visually and functionally.
Recreational or amenity space at the edge of a settlement that relates more closely to the built environment and is an integral part of the settlement	Some greenfield uses such as recreational or amenity open space, churchyards, wide grass verges and allotments, can form an integral and valuable part of the built-up area and its character. Typically, where these have been included, they have buildings on at least three sides and have a clear physical and visual relationship with the built-up area rather than any adjoining countryside. The Recreation Ground is strongly enclosed and is considered to relate more strongly to the settlement than the countryside.
<b>Areas Excluded</b>	<b>Implementation</b>
Employment development, farm buildings and farmyards, at the edge of the village that is not physically well related to the settlement	Agricultural buildings tend to relate more to the surrounding countryside when still in use for agricultural purposes.

*Mepal Neighbourhood Plan - Settlement Boundary Methodology*

<p>Isolated development that is physically detached from the settlement (including farm buildings or agricultural buildings and renewable energy installations)</p>	<p>To avoid areas of intervening countryside being unnecessarily included within the built-up area properties which are physically or visually detached should be excluded. Outlying properties at Mepal Road around White Gate Farm which are physically separated from the main part of the existing settlement have been treated as isolated and outside the built-up area. Therefore, they are excluded from the settlement boundary.</p> <p>The agricultural buildings south of Sutton Road and Witcham Road are excluded because they do not relate physically to the village. There are also a number of farms and other isolated buildings in the countryside that are excluded.</p>
<p>The extended curtilage of a property that relates more closely to the open countryside (e.g. a field or paddock) or has the capacity to substantially extend the built form of the settlement in terms of scale and location</p>	<p>Some large gardens exist; however, these gardens are generally closely related to the property both visually and functionally.</p>
<p>Important gaps</p>	<p>These spaces can provide a visual buffer between built development and the open countryside, softening the visual impact and linking the built-up area with its rural context. Undeveloped gaps in the streetscene have been excluded.</p>
<p>Land within the designated 'National Sites Network' consisting of areas designated as Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) or Special Protection Areas (SPA's); together with areas within the internationally designated Ramsar sites or are designated as Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)</p>	<p>Land within the designated boundary of the Ouse Washes has been excluded as additional built development may result in harm to biodiversity. As such the grouping of properties around the Three Pickerels Pub and Mepal Bridge that lie within the SAC/SPA/Ramsar or SSSI boundary have been excluded.</p>
<p>Recreational or amenity space at the edge of the settlement that relates more closely to the open countryside</p>	<p>Areas of open space, sports and recreational facilities, paddocks, allotments, caravan sites, churchyards and cemeteries often provide a visual buffer between the built form and the open countryside, softening the visual impact and linking the built-up area with its rural context. Such land is generally considered to primarily relate to the countryside where it is encompassed by built development on two sides or less. The Church and Cemetery visually look part of the countryside so have been excluded on this basis.</p>
<p>Camping and caravanning sites unless they have permanent year-round residential occupancy</p>	<p>There are no such sites presently in Mepal.</p>

## *Mepal Neighbourhood Plan - Settlement Boundary Methodology*

<p>Proposed or built rural exception sites for affordable housing</p>	<p>These sites where allowed are intended to be allowed as 'exceptions', therefore they should remain excluded from settlement boundaries to reflect this status. There are no such sites presently in Mepal.</p>
---	---

## Appendix 2 - Possible New Allotment Site


27. There are 12 Allotment plots located at the back of the Play Park and bordered by gardens in Laurel Close. Currently all plots are rented out and the Parish Council were operating a waiting list as the draft Neighbourhood Plan was being developed. Due to the ongoing level of demand at that time the Parish Council had been actively exploring options to provide additional land for allotments. The draft Neighbourhood Plan suggested an allocation for additional allotments in Policy 3 on land south of St Mary's Church. How the site was chosen was explained in the Settlement Boundary Methodology (this document) that accompanied the draft Neighbourhood Plan.
28. Since that time there has been turnover of allotment plots and the current demand for allotments has now been met through the existing allotments. There is now no waiting list and the proposed allocation for additional allotments in Policy 3 on land south of St Mary's Church in the draft Neighbourhood Plan attracted a number of objections.
29. The existing allotment site is subject to surface water flooding from surface water run-off which impacts on its usability. Ideally the Parish Council would like to expand the allotment provision on a site which could facilitate the relocation of the existing allotments as well as allowing the expansion of additional allotment plots to meet future demand.

## Consultation on Possible New Allotment Site

30. The Parish Council have explored options for a potential new site for allotments. In terms of functional requirements, a site which has a direct frontage to a road so it is accessible both by foot and by vehicle would be an enhancement as this would allow users to be able to make use of vehicles to bring heavy supplies such as compost or fertiliser. A site within or immediately adjacent to the settlement boundary of the main core of the village was considered the most appropriate for accessibility. The existing allotments measure around 800m<sup>2</sup> so a site larger than this is necessary to facilitate relocation and additional provision.
31. The draft Neighbourhood Plan therefore undertook a consideration of alternatives which included: Land adjacent to the existing allotments; land between 20 and 24 Brangehill Lane; land south of St Mary's Church; land north of Brangehill Lane; and land south of Brick Lane.
32. The Land adjacent to the existing allotments was discounted due to surface water flood risk and a lack of a direct frontage to a road making accessibility difficult. The land between 20 and 24 Brangehill Lane is too small and it would remove an obvious windfall plot for housing. The land north of Brangehill Lane is partly subject to surface water flood risk, it is also not self-contained in any way and would artificially subdivide a larger area of land. The land south of Brick Lane is also partly subject to surface water flood risk. It is also not self-contained in any way and would artificially subdivide a larger area of productive farmland.

## Mepal Neighbourhood Plan - Settlement Boundary Methodology

33. The land south of St Mary's Church was chosen as the preferred site in the draft Neighbourhood Plan and was put forward for consultation. The plan below shows the sites considered as part of the draft Neighbourhood Plan



Map - Possible Allotment Sites Considered © Crown Copyright

 Settlement Boundary  Plan Area Boundary

 Possible Allotment Sites Considered

## *Mepal Neighbourhood Plan - Settlement Boundary Methodology*

34. In the draft Neighbourhood Plan the land south of St Mary's Church was chosen as the preferred site because it was not subject to surface water flood risk, it had a direct road frontage, it reflected similar use as the burial ground opposite and there are existing vegetation which made the site chosen already partly self-contained from the wider land to the east. The site measured around 2,000m<sup>2</sup> so provided sufficient space to accommodate both the potential relocation of the existing allotments and the provision of additional plots. That site was located on the edge of Church of England land, partly used for intermittent livery, with easy access and the possibility of leasing or buying a small strip of land for a full relocation of the existing allotments and expansion.
35. It was considered that the provision of managed allotments on the land south of St Mary's Church could take place without adversely harming the important view and vista 'River Close (a.k.a Church Lane) - Looking North to the Church of St Mary' identified in Policy 7 of the Neighbourhood Plan. This is because the verdant nature of this view would not be materially changed.
36. This site lay within the setting of the Grade II\* Church of St Mary, the Church is set within its own defined Churchyard curtilage but has a functional relationship to the Graveyard and Graveyard extension to the west. The Church setting is largely an undeveloped verdant area, this setting would be retained through the allotment use provided that those allotments are effectively managed. Such management would be undertaken by Mepal Parish Council and would include restrictions on erecting buildings or other structures in order to protect the setting of the Listed Church.
37. As the preferred site for allocation comments on the choice was sought at the draft plan stage during the period from Wednesday 1 March 2023 to Wednesday 12 April 2023. That proposed allocation attracted a number of objections. In moving the Neighbourhood Plan forward it is necessary to consider the representations received and the latest position on demand for allotments.

## Submission Plan Approach to Need for Additional Allotments

38. Moving forward to the Submission version of the Neighbourhood Plan the Parish Council has reflected on the material change of circumstances relating to the current demand and has duly considered the representations made.
39. The Submission version of the Neighbourhood Plan will now in Policy 3 support the provision of additional allotments over the plan period but will not now suggest any actual allocation for additional allotments. This is because whilst future demand for additional allotments is considered realistic, it has not been possible at this time to identify a preferred site that could meet operational requirements and attract community support.

# Mepal Neighbourhood Plan 2022-2031

## Settlement Boundary Methodology September 2023

The Neighbourhood Plan for the Parish of Mepal produced in  
accordance with the Neighbourhood Planning (General)  
Regulations 2012



<https://www.mepalparish.org/mepal-neighbourhood-plan/>

NEIGHBOURHOOD-PLAN.CO.UK

© Mepal Parish Council 2023